
HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

January 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson
2375 130th Avenue NE
Suite 200
Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration
Environment, Fish and Wildlife
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2
Contract Number 95AC49468

January 1997

CONTENTS

Section 1 Executive Summary1-1

Section 2 Facility Description2-1

Section 3 Compliance Status.....3-1

Section 4 Remedial Actions4-1

Section 5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1

Section 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1

List of Tables

Table

1 Summary Program Information for Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

3 Remedial Actions Required at Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

5 Annual Operating Expenses: Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Willamette Hatchery

Section 1

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. The hatchery is located along Salmon Creek, approximately 3 miles upstream from its confluence with the Middle Fork of the Willamette River. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of spring chinook and rainbow trout. In addition, both summer and winter steelhead are reared at his facility for a short period of time. The Dexter satellite serves as an adult collection, rearing and acclimation release site for spring chinook and summer steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) “Strategy for Salmon” and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management’s response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.

- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead Results

The Rapid River facility includes three ponds for adult holding, 40 Burrow's ponds, 10 raceways, 4 circular ponds, 13 Canadian troughs, 22 troughs, and incubation facilities. The hatchery is operated as mitigation for fish losses caused by Hills Creek, Lookout Point, and the Dexter hydroelectric/flood control projects. The COE mitigation agreement requires an annual production of no more than 235,000 lb of juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead.

The Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery needed to document its adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with water quality monitoring requirements, alarm requirements, and feed preparation requirements, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop a smoltification goal and monitoring plan and specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery was not in compliance with all the transportation requirements. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct fishery contribution studies
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop alarm log
- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop M & E plan
- Document adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival
- Follow IHOT protocols for checking of alarms
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport equipment and personnel before and after use
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Follow IHOT protocols for water temperature during transport
- Follow IHOT protocols for wearing protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water
- Increase alkalinity and hardness
- Install alarms in adult holding ponds and rearing ponds
- Install telephone pagers
- Run analysis for missing water quality parameters nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name:	Willamette Fish Hatchery
Stock/Species:	Spring Chinook Summer Steelhead Winter Steelhead Rainbow Trout
Operating Agency:	Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
Funding Agency:	COE ODFW
Location:	The hatchery is located along Salmon Creek, approximately 3 miles upstream from its confluence with the Middle Fork of the Willamette River
Address:	76389 Fish Hatchery Road Oakridge, OR 97463
Hatchery Manager:	Mr. Gary Yeager
Phone:	(541) 782-2933
Fax:	(541) 782-4305
Purpose:	The hatchery is operated as mitigation for fish losses caused by Hills Creek, Lookout Point, and the Dexter hydroelectric/flood control projects. The COE mitigation agreement requires an annual production of no more than 235,000 lb of juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead.
Production Goal:	<p>Spring Chinook</p> <p>Provide 20,900 eggs to CEDC, Oregon's Salmon and Trout Enhancement Program and research</p> <p>Produce 301,000 smolts (21,500 lb) for transfer to South Santiam Hatchery</p> <p>Produce 858,000 fingerlings (8,520 lb) and 556,000 smolts (47,085 lb) for transfer to Dexter Ponds</p> <p>Release 958,000 smolts (113,000 lb) from Dexter Ponds into the South Santiam River</p> <p>Provide 10,000 smolts (1,000 lb) for ODFW research</p>

Provide 10,000 smolts (670 lb) for transfer to the NMFS research in Newport, Oregon

Produce 1,369,000 smolts (153,945 lb) for release into the Middle Fork Willamette River

Winter Steelhead

Produce 85,000 smolts (14,167 lb) in the Siuslaw River (not a Columbia Basin river)

Summer Steelhead

Produce 118,000 smolts (26,222 lb) for release into the Middle Fork Willamette River

Rainbow Trout

Produce 208,000 legal-sized fish (69,400 lb) for release into lakes, streams and reservoirs

Water Supply:

Water flow available to the hatchery, based on the current water delivery system, ranges from 29,623 to 37,028 gpm.

Facilities:

Adult Holding:	1 earth/gravel adult holding pond - 9,500 cf
	2 concrete brood ponds - 6,864 cf each
Incubation:	54 full stack of vertical tray incubator (810 trays used)
	22 wood troughs - 12-13 cf each
Early Rearing:	13 fiberglass Canadian troughs - 75 cf each
	1 concrete starter tank - 188 cf
Raceways:	40 Burrow's ponds - 3,710 cf each
	10 concrete raceways - 7,500 cf each
Rearing Ponds:	4 concrete circular ponds - 75 cf each
Satellite Facilities:	Dexter Ponds
	1 adult holding pond - 6,075 cf
	4 concrete raceways - 14,580 cf each
	1 asphalt rearing pond - 66,048 cf

Section 3

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report).¹ The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit.

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Willamette Hatchery was conducted on January 27-28, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (✓) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- **N/A** (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- **?** (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing					
	Leaburg Hatchery	Dexter Hatchery				
Adult Collection	✓					
Adult Holding	✓					
Spawning	✓					
Fertilization	✓					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	✓					
eyed-to-hatch	✓					
Rearing						
fry	✓					
fingerlings		✓				
smolts		✓				
Acclimation/release		✓				

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin management plan?		✓			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Willamette River Basin Plan	
is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?		✓			IHOT Operations Plan and Willamette River Hatchery Operations Manual	
is it understood by staff?		✓				
is it being followed?		✓				
is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?				✓	100% Adipose Clip	Develop M & E plan
adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery				✓	Review of records	Document adult contribution
adult pre-spawning survival as compared with established goal	✓				Do not hold adults, spawning, or incubate	
adult take as compared with established hatchery goal	✓				Do not hold adults, spawning, or incubate	
eyed-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with established goal	✓				Do not hold adults, spawning, or incubate	
eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal	✓				Do not early rear	
smolt to smolt survival as compared with established goal	✓				Do not early rear	
production as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
smolt survival (smolt to adult) as compared with established goal				✓	No information	Document smolt-to-adult survival
number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults meet basinwide needs	✓				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Temperature						
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?	✓				No spawning on station	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for incubation?	✓				No incubation on station	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for rearing?				✓	Review of data. Can be 7-8 °F too cold Nov. through April at Dexter Pond	None feasible
Dissolved gases						
Is the oxygen level near saturation?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Chemistry						
Ammonia (un-ionized)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Carbon Dioxide			✓		No data	Run analysis
Chlorine			✓		See above	See above
Hardness		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Copper			✓		No data	Run analysis
Hydrogen Sulfide			✓		See above	See above
Iron			✓		See above	See above
Manganese			✓		See above	See above
Turbidity						
Does your turbidity meet the criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Alkalinity and hardness						
Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?				✓	No apparent problem	Increase alkalinity and hardness
Nitrite						
Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis
Pesticide Contaminants						
Aldrin			✓		No data	Run analysis
Dieldrin			✓		See above	See above
Endrin			✓		See above	See above
Heptachlor			✓		See above	See above
Chlordane			✓		See above	See above
Methoxychlor			✓		See above	See above
Permethrin			✓		See above	See above
Malathion			✓		See above	See above
Parathion			✓		See above	See above
Diseases						
What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
Adult holding	✓				Not at this station	
Incubation	✓				See above	
Early rearing	✓				See above	
Rearing				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Others				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Alarm Systems						
Do the following areas have alarms?						
Intake				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms in adult holding ponds
Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms rearing ponds
Incubation facilities	✓				No incubation facilities	
Quarantine areas and facilities	✓				No quarantine areas and facilities	
Water treatment systems	✓				No water treatment systems	
Security				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?				✓	Discussion	None
Are water flow alarms checked daily?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Check flow alarms daily
Are all other alarms checked weekly?				✓	Discussion	Check other alarms weekly
Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
Are telephone pagers used?				✓	Discussion	Install telephone pagers
Adult collection and holding facilities						
Do you meet the adult holding criteria?	✓				No adult holding at this station	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation facilities Type 1: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? Type 2: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	✓				No incubation at this station	
Drinking water facilities Type 1: <u>Raceways (Dexter)</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? Type 2: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? Type 3: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program? Type 4: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		✓				
	✓					
	✓					
	✓					

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Screening facilities						
Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?	✓				40 ft deep intake on Dexter Dam	
Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water?	✓				All fish release on station	
Predator control facilities						
Are your predation control facilities effective?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
d storage facilities and quality control						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods (dry <12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) follow food manufacturer's recommendations?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does a regional quality control officer oversee production procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				✓	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				✓	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				✓	Discussion	See above
Are the foods stored and handled according to the following criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		✓			Discussion	
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		✓			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		✓			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		✓			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80 °F and above).	✓				None used	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Release facilities						
Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Pollution abatement facilities						
Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		✓			Discussion	
Transportation facilities						
Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT performance measures for transportation practices?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Broodstock selection practices						
Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	✓				Existing program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	✓				Existing program; does not apply	
Spawning practices						
Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	✓				No spawning on station	
Incubation practices						
Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?	✓				No incubation on station	
Are incubation practices written?	✓				See above	
Incubation Type 1: _____ (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	✓				See above	
Incubation Type 2: _____ (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
rearing practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?				✓	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan and Willamette Hatchery Operations Manual See above	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?		✓				
rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Dexter Raceways</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		✓				
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		✓				
rearing Unit Type 2: _____ (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✓					
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✓					
rearing Unit Type 3: _____ (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✓					
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✓					
smolt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Health management practices						
Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted? (PM #26)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Are the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? (PM #27)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h) and are the sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Chemistry			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Alkalinity and hardness				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? (PM #31)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Do hatchery performance meet requirements defined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?</p> <p>Percent smoltification</p> <p>Do you measure percent smoltification? Do you have a smoltification goal Did you meet the smoltification criteria?</p>			✓	✓ ✓	Discussion Discussion Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
<p>Rearing density (prior to release)</p> <p>Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Disease condition (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release number (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet the release number goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release size (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet the size goal?</p>				✓	Release at 4.7/lb vs. goal of 4.5/lb. Cool water slows growth	None
<p>Release dates of release</p> <p>Did you meet the release date goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release location of release</p> <p>Did you release the fish at the specified location?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release location of fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?</p> <p>Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?</p>		✓ ✓			Discussion/Partial rearing at Leaburg. Spend about 5 months in Dexter Pond. Discussion	
<p>Release strategy appropriate for the program?</p>		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Transportation facilities						
Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?				✓	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and personnel before and after use
Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 100 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?		✓			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				✓	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors
Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?				✓	Discussion	See above
Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions? 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		✓			Discussion	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water?				✓	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for wearing protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season?		✓			Discussion	
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting up and leaving for the day?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Transportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior to loading?		✓			Discussion	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to loading fish in the transport unit?		✓			Discussion	
Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes to 1 hour after loading?		✓			Discussion	
When fish are active and systems are functioning properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and maintained at approximately 8 ppm?		✓			Discussion	
Is water temperature in the transportation unit maintained within the 42-48 °F range?				✓	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for water temperature during transport
Do fish releasing procedures include the following criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		✓			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		✓			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Evaluation practices						
Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies?						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?				✓	Steelhead not part of commercial fishery. Sports-take data limited	Conduct fishery contribution studies
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?				✓	See above	See above
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?				✓	See above	See above
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?				✓	See above	See above
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?				✓	See above	See above

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ining practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as described below?						
Conduct visit at least monthly		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?						
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of reportable viral pathogens.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are hatchery sanitation procedures followed as described below?						
Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing?	✓				No incubation and early rearing on site	
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?	✓				See above	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?	✓				See above	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?	✓				See above	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
water quality parameters being followed?						
Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature		✓		✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Chemistry			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Alkalinity and hardness				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
io to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)	✓				No incubation on station	
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
io to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin management plan?</p> <p>Refer to subbasin plan PM #1</p>		✓			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Willamette Subbasin Plan	
<p>Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?</p> <p>Refer to operational plan PM #2</p>		✓			Review IHOT Operations Plan and Willamette River Hatchery Operations Manual	
<p>Is hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?</p> <p>Refer to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3</p>		✓			CWT and adipose clip	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and basin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, spawning and egg-take protocols?</p> <p>Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?</p>						
Species protocols (PM #1)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)	✓				No spawning on station	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	✓				See above	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)	✓				See above	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	✓				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do the hatchery's performance meet requirements defined in the regional hatchery policies and in the basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?						
Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
Rearing density (PM #22a2)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Disease condition (PM #22a3)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Number at release (PM #22a4)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Size at release (PM #22a5)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a5
Date of release (PM #22a6)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Location of release (PM #22a7)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?		✓			Discussion	
PM #22b						
Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		✓			Discussion	
PM #22c						

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>new programs, has a broodstock collection plan developed?</p> <p>Is the broodstock collection plan written?</p> <p>For a non-captive broodstock program:</p> <p>Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?</p> <p>Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?</p> <p>For a captive broodstock program:</p> <p>Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?</p> <p>Were full-sib crosses avoided?</p> <p>Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?</p>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>				<p>Existing Program; does not apply</p>	
<p>a new program, was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?</p> <p>Is a donor selection plan written?</p> <p>Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?</p> <p>Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?</p>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>				<p>Existing Program; does not apply</p> <p>Existing Program; does not apply</p> <p>Existing Program; does not apply</p>	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?</p> <p>Is the broodstock collection plan written?</p> <p>Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:</p> <p> Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?</p> <p> Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?</p> <p> Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?</p>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>				<p>No broodstock collected at this station</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p>	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
Are the spawning protocols written?	✓				No spawning on station	
Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?	✓				See above	
Was the appropriate number of spawners used?	✓				See above	
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?	✓				See above	
Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?	✓				See above	
Were the fertilization protocols followed?	✓				See above	
If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program in place?</p> <p>Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?</p> <p>Does the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:</p> <p>Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?</p> <p>Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?</p> <p>Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?</p> <p>Is the program understood and followed by staff?</p>				<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>	<p>None. South Santiam Hatchery is the parent hatchery for the program.</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p>	<p>Develop approved genetics M&E plan</p>

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Type	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, suggestions developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ($\pm 40\%$).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Install security alarms	----	6
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Develop M & E plan	----	3
Document adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival	----	4a, 4h
Follow IHOT protocols for checking of alarms	----	6
Develop alarm log	----	6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation	----	12
Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan	----	18-19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor	----	22a1
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport equipment and personnel before and after use	----	23
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors	----	23
Follow IHOT protocols for wearing protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water	----	23
Follow IHOT protocols for water temperature during transport	----	23
Conduct fishery contribution studies	----	24
Develop approved genetics M&E plan	----	43

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval Run analysis for missing water quality parameters nitrite, and contaminants	----	5c, 5f, 5g
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures Install alarms in adult holding ponds and rearing ponds Install telephone pagers	\$20,000 \$10,000	6 6
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time Increase alkalinity and hardness		5e

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

**Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:
Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead**

Year	Fisheries ¹ (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear)	Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution ² (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available
1987	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available
1988	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available
1989	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available	Complete data not available
1990					
1991					
1992					

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Tables 5a and 5b).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Willamette Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
1. Willamette Hatchery	\$89,686	\$71,859	\$83,366
2. Leaburg Hatchery	\$91,085	\$100,685	\$102,327
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$180,771	\$172,544	\$185,693

The total expenditures for the Willamette Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, 6c, and 6d).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Willamette Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Spring Chinook	\$462,590	\$423,168	\$521,014
2. Spring Chinook (McKenzie)	\$33,042	\$0	\$57,314
3. Spring Chinook (S. Santiam)	\$358,743	\$303,404	\$380,356
4. Summer Steelhead	\$89,686	\$71,859	\$83,366
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$944,061	\$798,431	1,042,071

**Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Willamette Hatchery -
Summer Steelhead**

Expenditure Occurring at Willamette

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$366,571	\$330,116	\$358,123
Operational Costs	\$590,186	\$401,261	\$524,424
Capital Costs	\$47,435	\$28,019	\$159,008
Indirect Costs	\$130,867	\$122,178	\$133,468
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$944,061	\$798,431	1,042,071
Source of Funds			
COE	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (lb)	25,556	25,556	25,556
Total Production (lb)	287,520	283,571	308,3181
Program as Percent of Total	9.5	9	8
Program Costs	\$89,686	\$71,859	\$83,366

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 5b. Annual Operating Expenses: Willamette Hatchery -
Summer Steelhead**

Expenditure Occurring at Leaburg Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$301,487	\$320,819	\$376,912
Operational Costs	\$274,591	\$286,021	\$385,180
Capital Costs	\$11,930	\$25,426	\$91,109
Indirect Costs	\$106,771	\$110,086	\$140,268
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$694,778	\$742,351	\$993,469
Source of Funds			
Program Production (lb)	33,812	39,675	29,443
Total Production (lb)	257,812	284,659	285,938
Program as Percent of Total	13.01%	13.9%	10.3%
Program Costs	\$91,085	\$100,685	\$102,327

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Willamette Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$366,571	\$330,116	\$358,123
Operational Costs	\$590,186	\$401,261	\$524,424
Capital Costs	\$47,435	\$28,019	\$159,008
Indirect Costs	\$130,867	\$122,178	\$133,468
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$944,061	\$798,431	1,042,071
Source of Funds			
COE	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (lb)	140,811	150,237	153,611
Total Production (lb)	287,520	283,571	308,311
Program as Percent of Total	49.0%	53%	50%
Program Costs	\$462,590	\$423,168	\$521,014

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Willamette Hatchery by Program
Spring Chinook (McKenzie Hatchery)**

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$366,571	\$330,116	\$358,123
Operational Costs	\$590,186	\$401,261	\$524,424
Capital Costs	\$47,435	\$28,019	\$159,008
Indirect Costs	\$130,867	\$122,178	\$133,468
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$944,061	\$798,431	1,042,071
Source of Funds			
COE	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (lb)	9625	0	16533
Total Production (lb)	287,520	283,571	308,311
Program as Percent of Total	3.5%	0%	5.5%
Program Costs	\$33,042	\$0	\$57,314

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Willamette Hatchery by Program
Spring Chinook (South Santiam Hatchery)**

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$366,571	\$330,116	\$358,123
Operational Costs	\$590,186	\$401,261	\$524,424
Capital Costs	\$47,435	\$28,019	\$159,008
Indirect Costs	\$130,867	\$122,178	\$133,468
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$944,061	\$798,431	1,042,071
Source of Funds			
COE	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (lb)	108,861	107,778	112,611
Total Production (lb)	287,520	283,571	308,311
Program as Percent of Total	38%	38%	36.5%
Program Costs	\$358,743	\$303,404	\$380,356

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6d. Detailed Expenditures at Willamette Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$366,571	\$330,116	\$358,123
Operational Costs	\$590,186	\$401,261	\$524,424
Capital Costs	\$47,435	\$28,019	\$159,008
Indirect Costs	\$130,867	\$122,178	\$133,468
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$944,061	\$798,431	1,042,071
Source of Funds			
COE	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (lb)	25,556	25,556	25,556
Total Production (lb)	287,520	283,571	308,3181
Program as Percent of Total	9.5	9	8
Program Costs	\$89,686	\$71,859	\$83,366

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.